## Teasing Apart Perfective Aspect and Past Tense: Evidence from Siamou

- **1. Problem.** Siamou (Niger-Congo, Kru) has a verb form, often marked by low-tone (henceforth L-tone verb), which is sometimes labeled past tense (Prost 1964), and sometimes perfective aspect (Traoré 1985, Marchese 1986). Siamou also has a sentence-final particle *in*, which seems to function as past tense. I argue that L-tone verbs encode perfective aspect, while *in* encodes past tense.
- 2. L-tone verbs are perfective. First L-tone verbs usually have a past construal (1). This is predicted by theories of default tense which state that perfective events have a past interpretation by default (Smith 2008). However, L-tone eventive verbs are felicitous in at least one non-past context: namely, with performatives (2). This is predicted if they are perfective, but unexpected if they are past tense (Smith 2008). Second, L-tone verbs can not combine with the imperfective to produce a past imperfective; this is expected if they are perfective, but not if they are past (Klein 1994). Third, L-tone verbs are part of a larger paradigm of aspectual morphemes (3-4); this indicates that the L-tone is an autosegmental aspectual morpheme. Fourth, L-tone verbs conform to the semantic definition of perfectives: the event is contained within the timeframe of a temporal adverb (Smith 1997). Fifth, L-tone verbs are like perfectives in that accomplishments and achievements have a culmination entailment (Rothstein 2004). Sixth, L-tone verbs pattern like perfectives in that they have an inceptive reading with punctual adverbs (Smith 1997).
- **3.** The sentence-final particle *in* is past tense. First, *in* occurs only in past tense contexts. Second, *in* combines with the imperfective to yield a past imperfective (5). Third, *in* freely combines with any aspectually marked verb to shift the reference time of the event to the past (5-10). Fourth, *in* has implicatures that are consistent with it being past tense:
- (i) expressions with future morphology combined with *in* have a counterfactual implicature (6) (Iatridou 2000);
- (ii) perfect and imperfective expressions with *in* have a cessation implicature (7) (Altshuler and Schwarzschild 2012):
- (iii) *in*-marked sentences can convey politeness (8) or doubt (9) (Botne and Kershner 2008):
- (iv) consistent with the default past construal of Siamou perfectives, when past tense *in* combines with the perfective, it has a remoteness implicature (10).
- **4. Implications.** Tense diagnostics confirm that *in* instantiates past tense, while aspectual diagnostics confirm that L-tone verbs instantiate perfective aspect. Consistent with Smith (2008), the past interpretation that arises with perfective aspect is a default. Tense/aspect defaults are also sensitive to lexical aspect. The role of the telic/atelic partition in default aspect has been explored (Bohnemeyer and Swift 2004). However, in Niger-Congo languages, the significant partition is not telic/atelic but eventive/stative (Welmers 1974, Déchaine 1993). Siamou has a class of verbs that are interpreted as either stative (11) or inceptive (12). Taking the inceptive as basic, the stative construal derives from the default past interpretation of the perfective: these are result states. These leads to the surprising conclusion that Siamou does not have statives as a lexical class.

- 1.  $\acute{N}$  ni nun  $y\grave{\epsilon}$ 1SG PART water drink.PRFV I drank water.
- 2. Ń ni ń **ká**1SG PART 1SG refuse.PRFV
  I refuse./\*I refused
- 3. Ń ni nun **laàn**1SG PART water drink.IMPF
  I am drinking water.
- 4. Ń ni nun gbε-è
  1SG PART water drink-CMPL
  I (recently) drank water.
- 5. Ń ni nun **laàn ín**1SG PART water drink.IMPF PST
  I was drinking water.
- 6. Ń bè nun gbε-a ín
  1SG PART water drink-FUT PST
  I was going to drink water (but I didn't).
- 7. Ń ni nun gbè-**ŋèn ín**1SG PART water drink-PERF PST
  I was drinking water (but I'm not anymore).
- 8. Ń ni kpàr búr-è ín.
  1SG PART mat spread-CMPL PST
  I spread a mat. (You're welcome to join me on it.)
- 9. À bè kpàr blín-**pèn ín.**3SG PART mat fold-PERF PST
  He folded a mat (maybe).
- 10. Ń ni nun **yè ín** 1SG PART water drink.PRFV PST I drank water (awhile ago).
- 11. À nun-î **jèn**.

  DEF water-DEF become.hot.PRFV

  The water is hot.
- 12. À jɔ-ô ri sóɔ **tèŋn**.

  DEF man-DEF PART big become.PRFV
  The man became big.

## References

Altshuler, Daniel and Roger Schwarzschild. 2012. *Moment of Change, Cessation Implicatures and Simultaneous Readings*. Proceedings of Sinn und Bedeutung 17: Paris.

Botne, Robert and Tiffany Kershner. 2008. *Tense and cognitive space: On the organization of tense/aspect systems in Bantu languages and beyond.* Cognitive Linguistics 19 (2): 145-218.

Bohnemeyer, Jürgen and Mary Swift. 2004. *Event Realization and Default Aspect*. Linguistics and Philosophy 27 (3):263-296.

Déchaine, Rose-Marie. 1993. Predicates across categories: Towards a category-neutral syntax. Ph.D. thesis, University of Massachusetts.

Iatridou, Sabine. 2000. *The Grammatical Ingredients of Counterfactuality*. Linguistic Inquiry 31 (2): 231-270.

Klein, Wolfgang. 1994. Time in Language. London: Routledge.

Marchese, Lynell, 1986. Tense, Aspect and the Development of Auxiliaries in Kru Languages. *SIL Publications in Linguistics* 78.

Prost, André, 1964. Contribution à l'étude des langues voltaïques. *Mémoires de l'IFAN* 70. Dakar.

Rothstein, Susan. 2004. Structuring Events: A Study in the Semantics of Lexical Aspect. Oxford: Blackwell.

Smith, Carlota S. 1997. *The Parameter of Aspect*. 2nd Edition. Netherlands: Kluwer Academic.

Smith, Carlota S., 2008. Time with and without Tense. in J. Guéron and J. Lecarme (eds.) *Time and Modality: Studies in Natural Language and Linguistic Theory.* Spring: Dordrecht.

Traoré, Kotalama. 1985. *Recherche sur la Structure de l'Enonce Seme*. Mémoire de D.E.A., Université de Nice.

Welmers, William. 1974. *African Language Structures*. Berkeley: University of California Press.